

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			T. impirone a green of I	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/407,141	09/28/1999	DENNIS D. KING	RSW9-99-074	2350
7590 10/04/2005			EXAMINER	
A BRUCE CLAY			SPOONER, LAMONT M	
IBM CORPORATION DEPT T81/062				
3039 CORNWALLIS ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RTP, NC 27709			2654	

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer:	09/407,141	KING, DENNIS D.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lamont M. Spooner	2654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER; FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ju	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>10 June 2005</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-13,15-21 and 23-28</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-13,15-21 and 23-28</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 September 1999</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atom Application (F 10-102)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)  Office Ac	tion Summary Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail Date 09222005				



Application/Control Number: 09/407,141 Page 2

Art Unit: 2654

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/02/2005 has been entered.

## Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 7-13, 15-21, and 23-28 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 3/02/2005, regarding claims 25-28 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's arguments regarding claims 25-28, p.10 and 11, "applicant is unsure of where, if anywhere, in Hetherington II each of these recitations of Claim 25 are allegedly found." The applicant is referred to the previous office action as the limitations are within the scope of the invention, more specifically, in Hetherington II Fig. 2. Applicant does not appreciate the breadth of the terminology. The nature of translation is not so narrow as the applicant argues. Starting from a word in one

Art Unit: 2654

language followed by machinations of a computer, and producing the word in another language satisfies the broadly claimed translation.

## Specification

4. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: In claim 1, "control object" does not have proper antecedent basis located within the specification.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-5, 7-13, 15-21, and 23-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

In claims 1, 9, and 17, "wherein said text is an input field" is not enabled, nor described anywhere in the disclosure in a way that enables an artisan to make or use this limitation.

In claims 7, 15, and 23, "wherein said text is an output field" is not enabled, nor described anywhere in the disclosure in a way that enables an artisan to make or use this limitation.

Art Unit: 2654

W.

In claims 8, 16, and 24, "wherein said text has no visual representation" is not enabled, nor described anywhere in the disclosure in a way that enables an artisan to make or use this limitation.

The Applicant is advised to point out the enablement of these limitations within the disclosure.

- 7. Claims 2-5, 10-13, and 18-21 are rejected as they depend from their rejected parent claims.
- 8. Claims 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claim is not supported by the disclosure. The description doesn't clearly allow a person of ordinary skill in the art to recognize what is claimed.
- 9. Claims 25/26 are rejected as being dependent upon their rejected parent claim.
- 10. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 11. Claims 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 25, line 1, "a control object" is unclear, vague and indecipherable as to the bound of the control object.

Application/Control Number: 09/407,141

Art Unit: 2654

Claims 26-28 are rejected as they depend from their rejected parent claim.

Page 5

## Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lamont M. Spooner whose telephone number is 571/272-7613. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on 571/272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lms 09/23/05 Donald L. Storm PATENT EXAMINER AN 2354